

**From:** [Feldcamp, Michael \(ECY\)](#)  
**To:** [Gable.Kelly@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:Gable.Kelly@epamail.epa.gov); [Szelag.Matthew@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:Szelag.Matthew@epamail.epa.gov)  
**Cc:** [Lavigne, Ronald \(ATG\)](#); [Anderson, Ivy \(ATG\)](#); [Mednick.Richard@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:Mednick.Richard@epamail.epa.gov)  
**Subject:** RE: Rescheduled: Follow-up discussion on SMS (Jan 10 04:00 PM EST in Call-in #: 8662993188, code 206 553 1497)  
**Date:** Thursday, January 10, 2013 1:58:03 PM

---

Hi all,

I have one follow-up question based on our discussion regarding review of Part II (definitions).

We also corrected a few internal cross-references in Part III (sediment quality standards) and Part IV (source control standards). Given what you said today about the type of changes requiring review, I would assume that EPA would also need to review those changes to cross-references (provided that the provisions in question were considered by EPA as water quality standards). Is that correct?

Thanks,  
Michael

-----Original Appointment-----

**From:** Kelly Gable/R3/USEPA/US [<mailto:Gable.Kelly@epamail.epa.gov>]

**Sent:** Thursday, January 10, 2013 10:31 AM

**To:** Anderson, Ivy (ATG); Matthew Szelag/R10/USEPA/US; Feldcamp, Michael (ECY); Richard Mednick/R10/USEPA/US; Lavigne, Ronald (ATG)

**Subject:** Rescheduled: Follow-up discussion on SMS (Jan 10 04:00 PM EST in Call-in #: 8662993188, code 206 553 1497)

**When:** Thursday, January 10, 2013 1:00 PM-1:30 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada).

**Where:** Call-in #: 8662993188, code 206 553 1497

<< File: ATT00001.htm >> << File: ATT00002.htm >> << File: c133121.ics >>